

# Cryptography Foundations

## Exercise 12

### 12.1 Information-Theoretic Authentication Amplification

Goal: We see that one mild synchronization assumption is sufficient to make information-theoretic authentication amplification possible.

The idea of authentication amplification is that one constructs from authenticated channels with small message spaces (and insecure channels with large message spaces) an authenticated channel with a large message space, say  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Let  $H : \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  be a  $\delta$ -almost universal hash function and consider the following protocol idea: To send (just a single) message  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ , Alice first sends  $m$  over the insecure channel  $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}}$ . Once Bob activates, he fetches a message, say  $m'$  from the insecure channel and acknowledges the receipt via an authenticated (unary) channel  $\xleftarrow{\{0,1\}}$ . Once Alice activates again and has received the acknowledgment, she chooses  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  uniformly at random, computes  $z := H_K(m)$  and sends the pair  $(K, z)$  over the authenticated channel  $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{Z}}$ . Bob (upon the next activation) receives this pair from the authenticated channel and outputs the message  $m'$  if and only if  $H_K(m') = z$ .

- Describe with pseudo-code the resource  $\text{AUTH}'$  that we aim to construct: an authenticated channel that includes some form of coordination between Alice and Bob, i.e., with a particular condition on the activation sequence in order for Bob to output the message.
- Let  $\mathbf{R} := [\xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}}, \xleftarrow{\{0,1\}}, \xrightarrow{\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{Z}}]$  and  $\mathbf{S} := \text{AUTH}'$ . Write down the above protocol idea as a pair of converters  $(\pi_1, \pi_2)$  and show that  $\Delta(\pi_1^A \pi_2^B \mathbf{R}, \sigma^E \mathbf{S}) \leq \epsilon$  (for a non-trivial bound  $\epsilon$ ) holds and describe the corresponding simulator  $\sigma$ . To simplify your task, assume that Eve injects at most one message, i.e., that  $q_E = 1$  for the insecure channel  $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}}$ .

*Hint:* The definitions of the channel resources  $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}}$  (where you can use  $q_A = q_E = 1$ ) and  $\bullet \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}}$  as pseudo-code are found in Exercise 2.3 (recall that  $\xleftarrow{\mathcal{M}}$  is defined as  $\bullet \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}}$  but with  $A$  being the receiver interface and  $B$  being the sender interface).

### 12.2 CBC-MAC and Prefix-Free Encodings

Goal: We have seen in the lecture that the CBC-MAC is secure if the encoding used by the block-former is prefix-free. We analyze such prefix-free encodings and discover that the CBC-MAC is not secure if the encoding is not prefix-free.

The CBC-MAC is described by a converter  $\text{CBC}$  that connects to a  $(\{0, 1\}^n, \{0, 1\}^n)$ -system  $\mathbf{F}$ . In more detail,  $\text{CBC}$  takes inputs  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$  and applies to them a block-former, which consists of using some encoding to obtain  $\tilde{x} \in \{0, 1\}^{\ell n}$  for  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , and then splitting  $\tilde{x}$  into  $\tilde{x} = \tilde{x}_1 \mid \dots \mid \tilde{x}_\ell$ .  $\text{CBC}$  then iteratively computes  $y_j$  by invoking  $\mathbf{F}$  on input  $\tilde{x}_j \oplus y_{j-1}$  (where  $y_0 = 0^n$ ), and finally outputs  $y_\ell$ .

- Consider the converter  $\text{CBC}'$  that uses the following encoding: On input a string  $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ , a “1”-bit is appended to  $x$ . Then, sufficiently many “0”-bits are appended to obtain  $\tilde{x}$  such that  $n$  divides  $|\tilde{x}|$ .

Show that  $\theta_r \text{CBC}' \mathbf{R}_{n,n} \notin (\theta_r \mathbf{V}_n)^\epsilon$  for  $\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2} r^2 2^{-n}$ , i.e., provide a distinguisher  $\mathbf{D}$  such that  $\Delta^{\mathbf{D}}(\theta_r \text{CBC}' \mathbf{R}_{n,n}, \theta_r \mathbf{V}_n) > \frac{1}{2} r^2 2^{-n}$  (which is larger than bound shown in Theorem 6.1).

b) Describe a prefix-free encoding.

### 12.3 Uniform Random Functions with Variable Input-Length

Goal: *In this task we analyze how one can construct a VIL-URF  $\mathbf{V}_n$  only from a random function  $\mathbf{R}_{m,n}$ , i.e., without assuming an additional key.*

In the lecture, we have seen a construction of a VIL-URF  $\mathbf{V}_n$  from a URF  $\mathbf{R}_{m,n}$  and a  $k$ -bit key  $\mathbf{U}_k$ , using a  $\delta$ -AUH  $H$  with  $m$ -bit output. Describe such a construction of a VIL-URF *only* from  $\mathbf{R}_{m,n}$ , that is, find a *deterministic* converter  $\alpha'$ , an upper bound  $\epsilon'_{r,l}$ , and an  $s_r \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\tau_{r,l}\alpha'[s_r]\mathbf{R}_{m,n} \in (\tau_{r,l}\mathbf{V}_n)^{\epsilon'_{r,l}},$$

where  $\tau_{r,l}$  denotes the converter that restricts access to at most  $r$  queries, each of length at most  $l$ .

#### Discussion of solutions:

28/29.5.2018 (Tasks 12.1, 12.2, and 12.3)

The Monday and Tuesday sessions of each week cover the same material.