

# Cryptographic Protocols

## Exercise 3

### 3.1 Geometric Zero-Knowledge

In this exercise we consider geometric constructions using a ruler (without markings) and a compass (Lineal and Zirkel). The operations we consider are those that we know from high school, namely to draw a line through two points, to draw a circle with center at one point that goes through another point, to obtain the intersection between two lines/two circles/a line and a circle, and to copy circles.<sup>12</sup>

- a) An *angle* is a geometric object consisting of two rays (half-lines) with a common end point. Show how one can add and subtract two angles, i.e., given angles  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , construct  $\alpha + \beta$  and  $\alpha - \beta$  using the above operations.

A well-known result from abstract algebra states that the trisection of an arbitrary angle cannot be drawn in the above sense.

- b) Peggy claims that she knows<sup>3</sup> the trisection  $\alpha$  of a publicly known angle  $\beta = 3\alpha$ . Construct an interactive protocol that allows her to prove this claim. You may assume that Peggy can generate a random point on a circle and that Vic can flip a fair coin.
- c) Prove that your protocol is complete and argue (informally) why it is a proof of knowledge.
- d) Prove that your protocol is zero-knowledge.

### 3.2 Honest-Verifier Zero-Knowledge and $c$ -Simulatability

In this exercise, we investigate the relation between HVZK protocols and  $c$ -simulatable protocols. It is easy to see that any  $c$ -simulatable protocol is also HVZK, while the converse is generally not true.

However, one can prove that any 3-round HVZK protocol with challenge chosen uniformly from a challenge space  $\mathcal{C}$ , can be transformed into a  $c$ -simulatable protocol. More concretely, given an HVZK protocol  $(P, V)$  for relation  $R$  ( $P$  proves knowledge of a witness  $w$  for an instance  $x$  such that  $(x, w) \in R$ ), find a  $c$ -simulatable protocol  $(P', V')$  for  $R$ .

### 3.3 An Interactive Proof

Let  $G$  be a cyclic group of prime order (i.e.,  $|G|$  is prime) and let  $g, h \in G$  be publicly known.

- a) Construct a *zero-knowledge* interactive proof protocol that, given some  $z \in G$ , allows Peggy to convince Vic that she knows some pair  $(x, y)$  such that  $z = g^x h^y$ . Prove that your protocol is complete and argue (informally) why it is a proof of knowledge.
- b) Prove that your protocol is zero-knowledge.

---

<sup>1</sup>This last operation can actually be performed with the other three.

<sup>2</sup>If you desire, you may play with the applet on [www.geogebra.org](http://www.geogebra.org).

<sup>3</sup>i.e., holds a copy of the geometric object  $\alpha$ .